

Inhaltsübersicht

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Teil A | 1 |
| 1 Einführung | 1 |
| 1.1 Ausgangslage und Handlungsbedarf | 1 |
| 1.2 Ziele, Adressaten und Nutzen der Arbeit..... | 4 |
| 1.3 Forschungsmethodik und Gestaltungsprozesse | 6 |
| 1.4 Aufbau der Arbeit | 11 |
| 2 Grundlagen | 13 |
| 2.1 Business Engineering als Bezugsrahmen | 13 |
| 2.2 Prozessmanagement | 14 |
| 2.3 Datenqualitätsmanagement | 16 |
| 2.4 Reifegradmodelle | 20 |
| 3 Ergebnisse und Bewertung | 23 |
| 3.1 Ergebnisse | 23 |
| 3.2 Erkenntnistheoretische Betrachtung | 27 |
| 3.3 Kosten-/Nutzenbetrachtung | 28 |
| 4 Zusammenfassung und Ausblick | 35 |
| 4.1 Erkenntnisse | 35 |
| 4.2 Einschränkungen | 36 |
| 4.3 Weiterer Forschungsbedarf | 37 |
| Teil B | 41 |
| 1 Integrating a data quality perspective into business process management | 41 |
| 1.1 Introduction..... | 42 |
| 1.2 Theoretical foundations | 44 |
| 1.3 Related work | 46 |
| 1.4 Research methodology..... | 47 |
| 1.5 Method design..... | 49 |
| 1.6 Case study | 55 |
| 1.7 Evaluation | 61 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 1.8 | Conclusions | 62 |
| 2 | Towards a model for information supply chain management | 65 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 65 |
| 2.2 | Research design | 67 |
| 2.3 | Related work and objectives of the model | 68 |
| 2.4 | Process Reference Model for Information Supply Chain Management (IPSCM-Model) | 71 |
| 2.5 | Evaluation | 77 |
| 2.6 | Conclusion | 78 |
| 3 | Management of the master data lifecycle | 81 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 82 |
| 3.2 | Theoretical foundations | 84 |
| 3.3 | Limitations of existing frameworks for the master data lifecycle | 86 |
| 3.4 | Research methodology | 88 |
| 3.5 | Master Data Lifecycle Management map | 90 |
| 3.6 | Case Study | 92 |
| 3.7 | Evaluation | 96 |
| 3.8 | Conclusions | 96 |
| 4 | Gestaltung der Datenversorgungskette | 99 |
| 4.1 | Einleitung | 99 |
| 4.2 | Grundlagen | 101 |
| 4.3 | Stand der Forschung | 104 |
| 4.4 | Referenzprozessmodell für die Datenversorgungskette | 105 |
| 4.5 | Anwendung des Referenzprozessmodells anhand eines Fallbeispiels | 117 |
| 4.6 | Evaluation | 122 |
| 4.7 | Zusammenfassung | 124 |
| 5 | A method to adapt and implement a maturity model for CDQM | 127 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 127 |
| 5.2 | Background | 128 |
| 5.3 | Research methodology | 132 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| 5.4 | Method design process..... | 134 |
| 5.5 | Method components..... | 137 |
| 5.6 | Conclusion and further research | 139 |
| 6 | A maturity model for enterprise data quality management..... | 141 |
| 6.1 | Introduction..... | 141 |
| 6.2 | Theoretical foundations | 142 |
| 6.3 | Related work | 144 |
| 6.4 | Research approach | 146 |
| 6.5 | Model design..... | 149 |
| 6.6 | Evaluation | 157 |
| 6.7 | Conclusion | 159 |
| 7 | A design theory for dynamic capability maturity models | 161 |
| 7.1 | Introduction..... | 161 |
| 7.2 | Related work | 163 |
| 7.3 | Research approach | 165 |
| 7.4 | Artifact design..... | 167 |
| 7.5 | Discussion | 168 |
| 7.6 | Conclusion | 172 |
| | Teil C..... | 175 |
| 1 | Modellierungswerkzeug | 175 |
| 2 | Referenzprozesskatalog..... | 176 |
| 3 | Reifegraderhebungswerkzeug | 177 |
| | Literaturverzeichnis | 179 |
| Anhang A | Komplette Publikationsliste des Autors | 201 |
| Anhang B | Gestaltungsprozesse..... | 203 |
| B.1 | Modellierungsmethode | 203 |
| B.2 | Referenzprozessmodell | 204 |
| B.3 | Reifegradmodell..... | 205 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| Anhang C | Erweiterungen des BE-Methodenkerns | 208 |
| Anhang D | Anhänge zu Publikation B1 | 211 |
| Anhang E | Anhänge zu Publikation B3 | 215 |
| Anhang F | Anhänge zu Publikation B4 | 218 |
| F.1 | Glossar | 218 |
| F.2 | Entwurfsentscheidungen | 219 |
| F.3 | Interview-Leitfaden..... | 220 |
| F.4 | Fokusgruppen- und Experteninterviews | 225 |
| Anhang G | Anhänge zu Publikation B6 | 228 |
| G.1 | Research context and interviews..... | 228 |
| G.2 | Methods and models | 230 |
| G.3 | Assessment methodology..... | 232 |
| G.4 | Practices and measures | 236 |
| Anhang H | Anhänge zu Publikation B7 | 240 |
| H.1 | Research context | 240 |
| H.2 | Interviews..... | 240 |

Inhaltsverzeichnis

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Teil A | 1 |
| 1 Einführung | 1 |
| 1.1 Ausgangslage und Handlungsbedarf | 1 |
| 1.2 Ziele, Adressaten und Nutzen der Arbeit..... | 4 |
| 1.3 Forschungsmethodik und Gestaltungsprozesse | 6 |
| 1.4 Aufbau der Arbeit | 11 |
| 2 Grundlagen | 13 |
| 2.1 Business Engineering als Bezugsrahmen | 13 |
| 2.2 Prozessmanagement | 14 |
| 2.3 Datenqualitätsmanagement | 16 |
| 2.3.1 Datenmodellierung und Datenarten..... | 16 |
| 2.3.2 Datenqualität..... | 17 |
| 2.3.3 Datenqualitätsmanagement..... | 18 |
| 2.3.4 Datenlebenszyklus aus Produktperspektive | 19 |
| 2.4 Reifegradmodelle | 20 |
| 3 Ergebnisse und Bewertung | 23 |
| 3.1 Ergebnisse | 23 |
| 3.1.1 Modellierungsmethode | 23 |
| 3.1.2 Referenzprozessmodell..... | 25 |
| 3.1.3 Reifegradmodell | 25 |
| 3.2 Erkenntnistheoretische Betrachtung | 27 |
| 3.3 Kosten-/Nutzenbetrachtung | 28 |
| 3.3.1 Einführendes Beispiel..... | 29 |
| 3.3.2 Anwendungsfall «Prozessmodellierung und -bewertung» | 29 |
| 3.3.3 Anwendungsfall «Gestaltung der Datenversorgungskette» | 31 |
| 3.3.4 Anwendungsfall «Reifegradbewertung» | 33 |
| 4 Zusammenfassung und Ausblick | 35 |
| 4.1 Erkenntnisse | 35 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----------|
| 4.2 | Einschränkungen..... | 36 |
| 4.3 | Weiterer Forschungsbedarf..... | 37 |
| Teil B | | 41 |
| 1 | Integrating a data quality perspective into business process management | 41 |
| 1.1 | Introduction..... | 42 |
| 1.1.1 | Motivation and problem statement..... | 42 |
| 1.1.2 | Research question and approach..... | 43 |
| 1.2 | Theoretical foundations | 44 |
| 1.2.1 | Data quality and related terms | 44 |
| 1.2.2 | Business process management and modeling..... | 44 |
| 1.2.3 | Recent developments in decision theory | 45 |
| 1.3 | Related work | 46 |
| 1.4 | Research methodology..... | 47 |
| 1.4.1 | Overview..... | 47 |
| 1.4.2 | Research process..... | 47 |
| 1.5 | Method design..... | 49 |
| 1.5.1 | Requirements | 49 |
| 1.5.2 | Language design and meta-model | 50 |
| 1.5.3 | Procedural guidelines and algorithms to evaluate data quality | 54 |
| 1.6 | Case study | 55 |
| 1.6.1 | Initial situation at Siemens Enterprise Communications (SEN) | 55 |
| 1.6.2 | Redesigned supplier on-boarding process | 57 |
| 1.6.3 | Impact on data quality, costs and cycle time | 59 |
| 1.7 | Evaluation | 61 |
| 1.8 | Conclusions..... | 62 |
| 1.8.1 | Summary of results and contribution..... | 62 |
| 1.8.2 | Need for further research..... | 63 |
| 2 | Towards a model for information supply chain management | 65 |
| 2.1 | Introduction..... | 65 |
| 2.1.1 | Motivation and problem scope | 65 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.1.2 | Research goal and approach | 66 |
| 2.2 | Research design | 67 |
| 2.3 | Related work and objectives of the model..... | 68 |
| 2.3.1 | Data and related terms | 68 |
| 2.3.2 | Information supply chain..... | 69 |
| 2.3.3 | Reference modelling and the SCOR-Model..... | 70 |
| 2.4 | Process Reference Model for Information Supply Chain Management (IPSCM-Model) | 71 |
| 2.4.1 | Case RailRoad Inc. | 73 |
| 2.4.2 | Information Product (IP) Inventory Chain | 74 |
| 2.4.3 | Information Product (IP) Design Chain..... | 75 |
| 2.4.4 | Information Product (IP) Consumer Chain | 76 |
| 2.4.5 | Information Product (IP) Supply Chain..... | 76 |
| 2.5 | Evaluation | 77 |
| 2.6 | Conclusion | 78 |
| 3 | Management of the master data lifecycle | 81 |
| 3.1 | Introduction..... | 82 |
| 3.2 | Theoretical foundations | 84 |
| 3.2.1 | Defining master data..... | 84 |
| 3.2.2 | Product perspective on master data management..... | 84 |
| 3.2.3 | Master data lifecycle..... | 85 |
| 3.3 | Limitations of existing frameworks for the master data lifecycle | 86 |
| 3.4 | Research methodology..... | 88 |
| 3.4.1 | Research method..... | 88 |
| 3.4.2 | Research process..... | 88 |
| 3.5 | Master Data Lifecycle Management map | 90 |
| 3.6 | Case Study | 92 |
| 3.6.1 | Case study design | 92 |
| 3.6.2 | Initial situation at Beiersdorf | 92 |
| 3.6.3 | Analysis of the GTIN lifecycle..... | 93 |
| 3.6.4 | Recommendations and measures derived..... | 95 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.7 | Evaluation | 96 |
| 3.8 | Conclusions | 96 |
| 4 | Gestaltung der Datenversorgungskette | 99 |
| 4.1 | Einleitung | 99 |
| 4.2 | Grundlagen | 101 |
| 4.2.1 | Datenmodellierung und Abstraktionsebenen | 101 |
| 4.2.2 | Datenqualität | 102 |
| 4.2.3 | Datenqualitätsmanagement | 103 |
| 4.3 | Stand der Forschung | 104 |
| 4.4 | Referenzprozessmodell für die Datenversorgungskette | 105 |
| 4.4.1 | Ziele und Anforderungen | 105 |
| 4.4.2 | Metamodell und Ordnungsrahmen | 106 |
| 4.4.3 | Rollenmodell | 108 |
| 4.4.4 | Prozessmodell | 109 |
| 4.4.5 | Kennzahlen | 116 |
| 4.5 | Anwendung des Referenzprozessmodells anhand eines Fallbeispiels | 117 |
| 4.5.1 | Ausgangssituation der Siemens Enterprise Communications | 117 |
| 4.5.2 | Informationen sammeln | 118 |
| 4.5.3 | Analyse und Spezifikation | 119 |
| 4.5.4 | Dokumentation | 121 |
| 4.6 | Evaluation | 122 |
| 4.6.1 | Multiperspektivische Evaluation | 123 |
| 4.6.2 | Konformität mit den Grundsätzen ordnungsgemässer Modellierung (GoM) | 123 |
| 4.7 | Zusammenfassung | 124 |
| 5 | A method to adapt and implement a maturity model for CDQM | 127 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 127 |
| 5.2 | Background | 128 |
| 5.2.1 | Maturity model development | 128 |
| 5.2.2 | Corporate data quality management | 129 |
| 5.2.3 | Complexity of maturity models | 131 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.3 | Research methodology | 132 |
| 5.4 | Method design process | 134 |
| 5.4.1 | Overview | 134 |
| 5.4.2 | CarSupply Inc.: Assessing maturity for several data classes | 134 |
| 5.4.3 | ZF Friedrichshafen AG: Assessing maturity for several divisions .. | 136 |
| 5.5 | Method components | 137 |
| 5.5.1 | Procedure model | 137 |
| 5.5.2 | Model of roles | 138 |
| 5.6 | Conclusion and further research | 139 |
| 6 | A maturity model for enterprise data quality management..... | 141 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 141 |
| 6.2 | Theoretical foundations | 142 |
| 6.2.1 | Data and data quality | 142 |
| 6.2.2 | Data quality management | 143 |
| 6.2.3 | Maturity models and organizational change..... | 144 |
| 6.3 | Related work | 144 |
| 6.3.1 | DQM approaches | 144 |
| 6.3.2 | Maturity models for DQM..... | 145 |
| 6.4 | Research approach | 146 |
| 6.4.1 | Research method..... | 146 |
| 6.4.2 | Research process..... | 147 |
| 6.5 | Model design..... | 149 |
| 6.5.1 | Scope and requirements..... | 149 |
| 6.5.2 | Meta-model and design decisions..... | 150 |
| 6.6 | Evaluation | 157 |
| 6.7 | Conclusion | 159 |
| 6.7.1 | Contribution of the paper..... | 159 |
| 6.7.2 | Limitations | 160 |
| 6.7.3 | Need for further research..... | 160 |
| 7 | A design theory for dynamic capability maturity models | 161 |

| | | |
|-------|---|------------|
| 7.1 | Introduction..... | 161 |
| 7.2 | Related work | 163 |
| 7.2.1 | Theory of dynamic capabilities | 163 |
| 7.2.2 | Maturity models..... | 164 |
| 7.2.3 | Data quality management | 164 |
| 7.3 | Research approach | 165 |
| 7.3.1 | Design Science Research and theorizing..... | 165 |
| 7.3.2 | Research context..... | 165 |
| 7.4 | Artifact design..... | 167 |
| 7.4.1 | Scope and requirements..... | 167 |
| 7.4.2 | Meta-model..... | 167 |
| 7.5 | Discussion | 168 |
| 7.5.1 | Principle #1: Design for a dynamic path of improvement | 168 |
| 7.5.2 | Principle #2: Design for maturity of routines by decomposing a dynamic capability..... | 169 |
| 7.5.3 | Principle #3: Integrate goal-orientation..... | 170 |
| 7.5.4 | Principle #4: Design for configuration and adaptability through a flexible appraisal method..... | 171 |
| 7.6 | Conclusion | 172 |
| 7.6.1 | Summary of results and contribution..... | 172 |
| 7.6.2 | Need for further research..... | 173 |
| | Teil C | 175 |
| | 1 Modellierungswerkzeug | 175 |
| | 2 Referenzprozesskatalog..... | 176 |
| | 3 Reifegraderhebungswerkzeug | 177 |
| | Literaturverzeichnis | 179 |
| | Anhang A Komplette Publikationsliste des Autors | 201 |
| | Anhang B Gestaltungsprozesse..... | 203 |
| | B.1 Modellierungsmethode | 203 |
| | B.2 Referenzprozessmodell..... | 204 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| B.3 | Reifegradmodell..... | 205 |
| Anhang C | Erweiterungen des BE-Methodenkerns | 208 |
| Anhang D | Anhänge zu Publikation B1 | 211 |
| Anhang E | Anhänge zu Publikation B3 | 215 |
| Anhang F | Anhänge zu Publikation B4 | 218 |
| F.1 | Glossar | 218 |
| F.2 | Entwurfsentscheidungen..... | 219 |
| F.3 | Interview-Leitfaden..... | 220 |
| F.4 | Fokusgruppen- und Experteninterviews | 225 |
| Anhang G | Anhänge zu Publikation B6 | 228 |
| G.1 | Research context and interviews..... | 228 |
| G.2 | Methods and models | 230 |
| G.3 | Assessment methodology..... | 232 |
| G.4 | Practices and measures | 236 |
| Anhang H | Anhänge zu Publikation B7 | 240 |
| H.1 | Research context | 240 |
| H.2 | Interviews..... | 240 |